arXiv:1004.4261v2 [math.FA] 10 May 2010

Scaling Limits for the System of Semi-Relativistic Particles Coupled to a Scalar Bose Field

Toshimitsu TAKAESU

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University,
Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan

Abstract. In this paper the Hamiltonian for the system of semi-relativistic particles interacting with a scalar bose field is investigated. A scaled total Hamiltonian of the system is defined and its scaling limit is considered. Then the semi-relativistic Schrödinger operator with an effective potential is derived.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010 : 81Q10, 62M15.
key words : Spectral analysis, Relativistic Schrödinger operator, Quantum field theory.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the Hamiltonian of the system of N particles linearly coupled to a scalar bose field. We assume that particles obey the semi-relativistic Schrödinger operator

$$H_{\mathrm{p}} \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{-\triangle_j + M^2},$$

where $M > 0$ is a rest mass. There has been many results on the spectral properties of H_{p}. Refer to e.g. [22, 6, 3, 4, 5, 21], and see also [19]. The free Hamiltonian H_{b} of the scalar bose field is defined by the second quantization of the multiplication operator ω, which is formally expressed by

$$H_{\mathrm{b}} \ = \ \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \omega(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}$$

The state space of the interacting system is defined by $\mathcal{H} \ = \ L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{dN}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}}^d))$ where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}}^d))$ is the boson Fock space on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}}^d)$. The total Hamiltonian is given by

$$H \ = \ H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I \ + \ I \otimes H_{\mathrm{b}} \ + \ \kappa H_{\mathrm{I}}, \qquad \qquad \kappa \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{1}$$

Here the interaction H_{I} is denoted by formally

$$H_{\mathrm{I}} \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left(\overline{f_{\mathbf{x_j}}(\mathbf{k})} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}) + f_{\mathbf{x_j}}(\mathbf{k}) \otimes a^*(\mathbf{k}) \right) d\mathbf{k},$$

where $f_{\mathbf{x}}$ is an multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^d)$.

We consider the scaled Hamiltonian

$$H(\Lambda) \;=\; H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I \;+\; \Lambda^2\, I \otimes H_{\mathrm{b}} \;+\; \kappa \Lambda H_{\mathrm{I}}, \qquad\qquad \Lambda > 0. \tag{2}$$

We investigate the asymptotic behavior of $H(\Lambda)$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$. The unitary evolution $e^{-itH(\Lambda)}$ generated by $H(\Lambda)$ is given by

$$e^{-itH(\Lambda)} \;=\; e^{-i\Lambda^2 t\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2} \;+\; H_{\mathrm{b}} \;+\; \left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right) H_{\mathrm{I}} \right)}.$$

Here $\Lambda^2 t$ denotes the scaled time, $\Lambda^{-2}\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ the scaled momentum for $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -i\nabla$, $\Lambda^{-2}M$ the scaled mass, and $\Lambda^{-1}\kappa$ the scaled coupling constant. As far as we know scaling limits of the Hamiltonians of the form (2) is initiated by E. B. Davies [2], where $H(\Lambda)$ with semi-relativistic Schrödinger operator replaced by a standard Schrödinger operator is considered and a Schödinger operator with an effective potential is derived as $\Lambda \to \infty$. This model is called the Nelson model, and our result can be regarded as a semi-relativistic version of [2]. In [1], a general theory of scaling limits is established and it is applied to scaling limits of a spin-boson model and non-relativistic QED models. In [10], by removing ultraviolet cutoffs and taking a scaling limit of the Nelson model *simultaneously*, a Schrödinger operator with the Yukawa potential or the Coulomb potential is derived. Refer to see also [8, 17, 18, 16, 20].

In the main theorem, it is shown that for $z \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{R}$,

$$s-\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} (H(\Lambda) - z)^{-1} \;=\; \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{-\triangle_j + M^2} \;+\; V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N) \;-\; z \right)^{-1} P_{\Omega_{\mathrm{b}}}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N) \;=\; -\frac{\kappa^2}{4} \sum_{j,l} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\overline{f_{\mathbf{x}_j}(\mathbf{k})}\, f_{\mathbf{x}_l}(\mathbf{k}) + \overline{f_{\mathbf{x}_l}(\mathbf{k})}\, f_{\mathbf{x}_j}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} d\mathbf{k}\,,$$

and $P_{\Omega_{\mathrm{b}}}$ is the projection onto the closed subspace spanned by the Fock vacuum Ω_{b} of the bose field.

For the strategy of the proof of the main theorem, we use a unitary transformation, called *the dressing transformation*. Then we apply the general theory investigated in [1] to the unitary transformed Hamiltonian $U(\Lambda)^{-1}H(\Lambda)U(\Lambda)$, and the consider the asymptotic behavior of $U(\Lambda)^{-1}H(\Lambda)U(\Lambda)$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the theory of boson Fock space is described. Then the total state space and the total Hamiltonian is defined, and the main results are stated. In Section 3, the proof of the main theorem is given.

2 Main Results

2.1 Boson Fock Spaces

In this subsection we give the mathematically rigorous definition of the bose field. The state space of the bose field is given by the boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)) = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}(\otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^n L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$, where $\otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^n L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ denotes the n-fold symmetric tenser product of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with $\otimes_s^0 L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) := \mathbf{C}$. The Fock vacuum is defined by $\Omega_{\mathrm{b}} = \{1, 0, 0, \cdots\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$. The finite particle subspace $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ on the subspace $\mathcal{D} \subset L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is defined by the set of $\Psi = \{\Psi^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying that $\Psi^{(n)} \in \otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^n \mathcal{D}$, $n \geq 0$, and $\Psi^{(n')} = 0$ for all $n' > N$ with some $N \geq 0$. Let $a(\xi)$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$, and $a^*(\eta)$, $\eta \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, be the annihilation operator and the creation operator on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$, respectively. Then they satisfy the canonical commutation relations on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{fin}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$:

$$[a(\xi), a^*(\eta)] = (\xi, \eta), \qquad [a(\xi), a(\eta)] = [a^*(\xi), a^*(\eta)] = 0.$$

Let S be a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The second quantization of S is defined by

$$d\Gamma(S) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (I \otimes \cdots I \otimes \underbrace{S}_{jth} \otimes I \cdots \otimes I) \right),$$

For $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(S^{-1/2})$, it is seen that $a(\eta)$ and $a^*(\eta)$ are relatively bounded with respect to $d\Gamma(S)^{1/2}$ with the bound

$$\|a(\eta)\Psi\| \leq \|S^{-1/2}\eta\| \, \|d\Gamma(S)^{1/2}\Psi\|, \qquad \Psi \in \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(S)^{1/2}), \tag{4}$$

$$\|a^*(\eta)\Psi\| \leq \|S^{-1/2}\eta\| \|d\Gamma(S)^{1/2}\Psi\| + \|\eta\| \|\Psi\|, \qquad \Psi \in \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(S)^{1/2}). \tag{5}$$

The field operator and its conjugate operator are defined by

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(a(\xi) + a^*(\xi) \Big), \qquad \Pi(\eta) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(-a(\eta) + a^*(\eta) \Big).$$

2.2 Main Theorem

In this subsection we define the total Hamiltonian and state the main results. The state space of the system for the N-particles coupled to bose field is defined by

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{dN}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}(L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}}^d)).$$

The free Hamiltonian of particles and the bose field are defined by

$$H_{\mathrm{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{-\triangle_j + M^2}, \qquad H_{\mathrm{b}} = d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(\omega),$$

where $M > 0$ is a rest mass and ω denotes the multiplication operator by the function $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, which describes the energy of the boson with momentum $\mathbf{k}$. We assume the following condition :

(A.1) ω is non-negative.

The interaction H_{I} is defined by

$$H_{\mathrm{I}} \;=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi(f_{\mathbf{x}_j}),$$

where $f_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the multiplication operator satisfying the following condition :

(A.2)

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 d\mathbf{k} \;<\; \infty, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} d\mathbf{k} \;<\; \infty.$$

The total Hamiltonian of this system is given by

$$H \;=\; H_0 \;+\; \kappa H_{\mathrm{I}},$$

where $H_0 \;=\; H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I \;+\; I \otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}$. By (4), (5), and the assumption (**A.2**), it is seen that the H_{I} is relatively bounded with respect to $I \otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}^{1/2}$. Hence H_{I} is relatively bounded with respect to H_0 with infinitely small bound. Then the Kato-Rellich theorem shows that H is self-adjoint and essentially self-adjoint any core of H_0. Then in particular, H is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}_0 \;=\; C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{dN})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathcal{D}(\omega))$, where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the algebraic tensor product.

Let us introduce the scaled total Hamiltonian

$$H(\Lambda) \;=\; H_0(\Lambda) \;+\; \kappa\Lambda H_{\mathrm{I}},$$

where $H_0(\Lambda) \;=\; H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I \;+ \Lambda^2 I \otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}$. We introduce an additional assumption on the interaction.

(A.3) $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} d\mathbf{k} \;<\; \infty,$ and $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^3} d\mathbf{k} \;<\; \infty.$

(A.4) $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} d\mathbf{k} < \infty$, $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} d\mathbf{k} < \infty$ and $(\frac{\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}, \frac{f_{\mathbf{y}}}{\omega}) \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Under the condition $(\frac{\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}, \frac{f_{\mathbf{y}}}{\omega}) \in \mathbf{R}$ in **(A.4)**, it follows that $[\Pi(\frac{\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}), \Pi(\frac{f_{\mathbf{y}}}{\omega})] = 0$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Remark 2.1 *Let us define that* $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k}) \;=\; \frac{\chi_R(|\mathbf{k}|)}{\sqrt{\omega(\mathbf{k})}}\, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ *with* $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega(-\mathbf{k})$. *Here* χ_R *denotes the characteristic function on* $[0,\, R)$. *Then the conditions* ***(A.1)-(A.4)*** *are satisfied, and the interaction* H_I *is formally expressed by*

$$H_I \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_R(|\mathbf{k}|)}{\sqrt{2\omega(\mathbf{k})}} \Big(a(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_j} \;+\; a^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_j} \Big) d\mathbf{k}.$$

The main theorem in this paper is as follows

Theorem 2.1 *Assume* ***(A.1)-(A.4)****. Then for* $z \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{R}$ *it follows that*

$$s-\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} (H(\Lambda)-z)^{-1} \;=\; \big(H_p \;+\; V_{eff}(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_n) \;-\; z\big) \otimes P_{\Omega_b},$$

where

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_n) \;=\; -\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\sum_{j,l}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\overline{f_{\mathbf{x}_j}(\mathbf{k})}\, f_{\mathbf{x}_l}(\mathbf{k}) + \overline{f_{\mathbf{x}_l}(\mathbf{k})}\, f_{\mathbf{x}_j}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} d\mathbf{k},$$

and P_{Ω_b} *is the projection onto the closed subspace spanned by the Fock vacuum* Ω_b*.*

Remark 2.2 *When* $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{k}) \;=\; \frac{\chi_R(|\mathbf{k}|)}{\sqrt{\omega(\mathbf{k})}}\, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ *with* $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega(-\mathbf{k})$*, the effective potential is given by*

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_n) \;=\; -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\sum_{j,l}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|\chi_R(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}_j-\mathbf{x}_l)} d\mathbf{k},$$

By using the norm convergence theorem considered in ([18] ; Lemma 2.7), the the next corollary follows.

Corollary 2.2 *Assume* ***(A.1)-(A.4)****. Then it follows that*

$$s-\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} e^{-itH(\Lambda)}\,(I\otimes P_{\Omega_b}) \;=e^{-it\big(\;H_p \;+\; V_{eff}(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_n)\big)} \;\otimes P_{\Omega_b}.$$

3 Proof of Main Theorem

The outline of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is as follows. A unitary transformation $U(\Lambda)$, called the dressing transformation, is defined and we consider the unitarily transformed Hamiltonian $U(\Lambda)^{-1}H(\Lambda)U(\Lambda)$. Then we apply the general theory on scaling limits in [1] to $U(\Lambda)^{-1}H(\Lambda)U(\Lambda)$.

Under the condition (**A.3**), the following unitary operator can be defined :

$$U(\Lambda) \;=\; e^{i\left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right)\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Pi(\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})}.$$

It is seen that on the finite particle subspace

$$[\Pi(\xi),H_{\mathrm{b}}] \;=\; -i\,\phi(\omega\xi), \qquad \xi\in\mathcal{D}(\omega), \tag{6}$$

$$[\Pi(\xi),\phi(\eta)] \;=\; \frac{-i}{2}\,\Big(\;(\xi,\eta)+(\eta,\xi)\Big), \qquad \xi,\eta\in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3). \tag{7}$$

By (6) and (7), we have

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1}H(\Lambda)U(\Lambda) \;=\; H_0(\Lambda) \;+K(\Lambda) \tag{8}$$

where

$$K(\Lambda) \;=\; U(\Lambda)^{-1}\left(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I\right)U(\Lambda) \;-\; H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I \;\;+\; V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_N). \tag{9}$$

Now we apply the general theory on scaling limits investigated in [1]. Let us set the total Hilbert space by $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{Y}$. Let A and B be non-negative self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. Here we assume that $\ker B \neq \{0\}$. We consider a family of symmetric operators $\{C(\Lambda)\}_{\Lambda>0}$ satisfying the conditions :

(S.1) For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\Lambda(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $\Lambda > \Lambda(\varepsilon)$, $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes I) \cap \mathcal{D}(I \otimes B) \subset \mathcal{D}(C(\Lambda))$, and there exists $b(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ such that

$$\|C(\Lambda)\Phi\| \leq \varepsilon \|(A \otimes I + \Lambda I \otimes B)\Phi\| + b(\varepsilon)\|\Phi\|.$$

(S.2) There exists a symmetric operator C on $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{D} \otimes \ker B \subset \mathcal{D}(C)$ and for all $z \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{R}$),

$$s - \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} C(\Lambda)(A \otimes I + \Lambda I \otimes B - z) = C(A-z)^{-1} \otimes P_B,$$

where P_B is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{Y}$ onto $\ker B$.

Proposition A ([1] ; Theorem 2.1) Assume **(S.1)** and **(S.2)**. Then (i)-(iii) follows.
(i) There exists $\Lambda_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $\Lambda > \Lambda_0$,

$$X(\Lambda) = A \otimes I + \Lambda I \otimes B + C(\Lambda)$$

is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes I) \cap \mathcal{D}(I \otimes B)$ and uniformly bounded from below for Λ, furthermore $X(\Lambda)$ is essentially self-adjoint on any core of $A \otimes I + I \otimes B$.
(ii) Let $X = A \otimes I + (I \otimes P_B) C (I \otimes P_B)$. Then X is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes I)$ and bounded from below, and essentially self-adjoint on any core of $A \otimes I$.
(iii) Let $z \in \bigcap_{\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0} \rho(X(\Lambda)) \cap \rho(X)$, where $\rho(\mathcal{O})$ denotes the resolvent set of an operator $\mathcal{O}$. Then

$$s - \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} (X(\Lambda) - z)^{-1} = (X - z)^{-1}(I \otimes P_B).$$

Now we consider $H(\Lambda)$ again. What we have to prove is that $H(\Lambda)$ satisfies the condition **(S.1)** and **(S.2)** by applying $H_0(\Lambda)$ to $A \otimes I + \Lambda I \otimes B$ and $K(\Lambda)$ to $C(\Lambda)$. First let us consider the term $U(\Lambda)^{-1}\left(H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I\right) U(\Lambda)$ in (9). Let us set $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = (\hat{p}^1, \cdots, \hat{p}^d) = (-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \cdots, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^d})$. Then by the spectral decomposition theorem,

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1}\left(H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I\right) U(\Lambda) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{\left(U(\Lambda)^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_j \otimes I)U(\Lambda)\right)^2 \;+\; M^2}, \tag{10}$$

follows. We see that

$$[\Pi(f_{\mathbf{x}}), \hat{p}^{\nu}] \;=\; i\Pi(\partial_{x^{\nu}} f_{\mathbf{x}}). \tag{11}$$

Then by **(A.4)**, it follows that for $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}_0$,

$$\left(U(\Lambda)^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_j \otimes I)U(\Lambda)\right)^2 \Psi \;=\; \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{d} \left(\hat{p}_j^{\nu} \otimes I + \left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right) \Pi(\frac{\partial_{x_j^{\nu}} f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega}) \right)^2 \;+\; M^2 \right) \Psi.$$

Then we have

$$\left(U(\Lambda)^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_j \otimes I)U(\Lambda)\right)^2 \;=\; \overline{\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{d} \left(\hat{p}_j^{\nu} \otimes I + \left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right) \Pi(\frac{\partial_{x_j^{\nu}} f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega}) \right)^2 \;+\; M^2 \right)_{\restriction \mathcal{D}_0}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\overline{Y}$ denotes the closure of the operator Y. Here we abbreviate as

$$\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{p}} \otimes I) \;=\; \sum_{\nu=1}^{d} \Pi(\frac{\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega})(\hat{p}^\nu \otimes I),$$

$$\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}) \cdot \Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}) \;=\; \sum_{\nu=1}^{d} \Pi(\frac{\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega})\phi(\frac{\partial_{x^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}).$$

Then we see that

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1}\left(H_{\mathrm{p}} \otimes I\right) U(\Lambda) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\overline{\left(-\triangle_j \otimes I \,+\, Q_j(\Lambda) \,+ M^2 \right)_{\lceil \mathcal{D}_0}} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$Q_j(\Lambda) \;=\; \left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right) \left(2\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j \otimes I) \;-\; i\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega}) \right) + \left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega}) \cdot \Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega}).$$

Proposition 3.1 *Assume* ***(A.1)-(A.4)****. Then for* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exists* $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ *such that for all* $\Lambda > \Lambda(\varepsilon)$*,*

$$\|U(\Lambda)^{-1}(H_p \otimes I)U(\Lambda)\Psi - (H_p \otimes I)\Psi\| \;\leq\; \varepsilon \|H_0(\Lambda)\Psi\| + b(\varepsilon)\|\Psi\| \tag{14}$$

where $b(\varepsilon)$ *is a constant independent of* $\Lambda \geq \Lambda(\varepsilon)$*.*

Before proving Proposition 3.1, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *For* $\lambda > 0$ *and* $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$*, there exists* $M_\nu(\delta)$*,* $\nu = 1, \cdots, d$*, such that*

$$\|\hat{p}^\nu(-\triangle + M^2 + \lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{-\triangle + M^2} + 1)^{-1/2}\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} M_\nu(\delta). \tag{15}$$

(**Proof**) For $\mathbf{p} = (p^1, \cdots, p^d) \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $\nu = 1, \cdots, d$, we see that

$$|\, p^\nu(\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2 + \lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2} + 1)^{-1/2} \,| = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \, |\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} p^\nu| \, (\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2 + \lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2} + 1)^{-1/2}.$$

We shall show that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{R}^d} |\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} p^\nu| \;\, (\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2 + \lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2} + 1)^{-1/2} \;<\; \infty, \tag{16}$$

and hence (15) follows from the spectral decomposition theorem. The Young's inequality shows that for $q > 1$ and $\tilde{q} > 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = 1$,

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}|p^\nu| \;\leq\; \frac{1}{q}\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)q} \;+\; \frac{1}{\tilde{q}}|p^\nu|^{\tilde{q}} \tag{17}$$

follows. Let us take $q = (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)^{-1}$ for $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$, and hence $\tilde{q} = (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}|p^\nu| \;\leq\; (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)\lambda \;+\; (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)|p^\nu|^{(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)^{-1}}. \tag{18}$$

Note that

$$\sup_{\lambda>0,\mathbf{p}\in\mathbf{R}^d} \lambda\,(\mathbf{p}^2+M^2+\lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2+M^2}+1)^{-1/2} \;<\; \infty. \tag{19}$$

Since $0<\delta<\frac{1}{10}$, we see that $(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)^{-1} \;<\frac{5}{2}$, and hence

$$\sup_{\mathbf{p}>\mathbf{R}^d} |p^\nu|^{(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)^{-1}}(\mathbf{p}^2+M^2)^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2+M^2}+1)^{-1/2}<\infty. \tag{20}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\lambda>0,\mathbf{p}\in\mathbf{R}^d} &|p^\nu|^{(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)^{-1}}(\mathbf{p}^2+M^2+\lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{p^2+M^2}+1)^{-1/2}\\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbf{R}^d}|p^\nu|^{(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)^{-1}}(\mathbf{p}^2+M^2)^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2+M^2}+1)^{-1/2}<\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By (18), (19) and (21), we obtain (16). ■

(Proof of Proposition 3.1)

It follows that for a nonnegative self-adjoint operator S,

$$\sqrt{S}\Phi \;=\; \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}(S+\lambda)^{-1}S\Phi\,d\lambda, \qquad\qquad \Phi\in\mathcal{D}(S). \tag{22}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}A_j(\Lambda) \;&=\; \overline{\Big(-\triangle_j\otimes I\;+Q_j(\Lambda)\;+\;M^2\Big)_{\restriction\mathcal{D}_0}},\\ B_j\;&=\;-\triangle_j\otimes I\;+\;M^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have for $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(U(\Lambda)^{-1}\big(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I\big)U(\Lambda)-H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I\Big)\Psi &= \sum_{j=1}^N\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\big\{(A_j(\Lambda)+\lambda)^{-1}A_j(\Lambda)\;-\;(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}B_j\big\}\,\Psi\,d\lambda\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\sqrt{\lambda}(A_j(\Lambda)+\lambda)^{-1}(A_j(\Lambda)-\;B_j)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\,d\lambda\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\sqrt{\lambda}(A_j(\Lambda)+\lambda)^{-1}\;Q_j(\Lambda)\;(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\,d\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By (13) and the spectral decomposition theorem, $\|(A_j(\Lambda)+\lambda)^{-1}\|\leq\frac{1}{\lambda+M^2},\;\lambda>0$ follows, and then we have

$$\|\Big(U(\Lambda)^{-1}\big(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I\big)U(\Lambda)-H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I\Big)\Psi\|\leq\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda+M^2}\,\|Q_j(\Lambda)\;(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|\,d\lambda. \tag{24}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q_j(\Lambda)\;(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|\leq\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\Big)&\Big(\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|+\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|\Big)\\ &+\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\Big)^2\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|\\ &\leq\sum_{\nu}\|\Pi(\frac{\partial_{x_j^\nu} f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j{}^{\nu}\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I+1)^{1/2}(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{1/2}\Psi\|\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

Here we used the boundness (4) and (5). Applying the Lemma 3.2 to $\|(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j{}^{\nu}\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I+1)^{-1/2}\|$ in (26), it is seen that for $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{10})$, there exist $\alpha_j(\delta)\geq 0$ such that

$$\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|\leq\frac{\alpha_j(\delta)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}\|(H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I+1)^{1/2}(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{1/2}\Psi\|,$$

and hence we have

$$\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|\leq\frac{\alpha_j(\delta)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}\left(\,\|H_{\mathrm{p}}\Psi\|+\|H_{\mathrm{b}}\Psi\|+\|\Psi\|\right).\tag{27}$$

Since $(\|H_{\mathrm{p}}\otimes I\Psi\|+\|I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}\Psi\|)^2\leq 2\|H_0(\Lambda)\|^2$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda+M^2}\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j\otimes I)(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|d\lambda\leq\alpha_j(\delta)\left(\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{(\lambda+M^2)\lambda^{\delta}}d\lambda\right)\left(\,\sqrt{2}\|H_0(\Lambda)\Psi\|+\|\Psi\|\right).\tag{28}$$

By $\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1/2}\|<\infty$ and $\|(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\|\leq\frac{1}{\lambda+M^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|&\leq\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\|\;\|(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{1/2}\Psi\|\\ &\leq\frac{1}{\lambda+M^2}\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{1/2}\Psi\|.\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

Then by $\|(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{1/2}\Psi\|\leq\|H_0(\Lambda)\Psi\|+\|\Psi\|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda+M^2}\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|d\lambda\\ &\qquad\leq\|\Pi(\frac{\triangle f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\left(\int_0^\infty\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{(\lambda+M^2)^2}d\lambda\right)\left(\,\|H_0(\Lambda)\Psi\|+\|\Psi\|\right).\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

In addition we also see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|&\leq\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1}\|\;\|(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\|\;\|(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)\Psi\|\\ &\leq\frac{1}{\lambda+M^2}\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)^{-1}\|\;\|(I\otimes H_{\mathrm{b}}+1)\Psi\|.\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

Since $\|\Pi(\xi)\Pi(\eta)(H_{\rm b}+1)^{-1}\| < \infty$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda+M^2}\|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(B_j+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi\|d\lambda$$

$$\leq \|\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})\cdot\Pi(\frac{\nabla f_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{\omega})(H_{\rm b}+1)^{-1}\|| \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{(\lambda+M^2)^2}d\lambda\right)\left(\|H_0(\Lambda)\Psi\|+\|\Psi\|\right). \qquad (32)$$

Then from (28),(30), (32) and (25), the proposition follows. ■

Proposition 3.3 *Assume **(A.1) - (A.4)**.*
(1) *For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ such that for all $\Lambda > \Lambda(\varepsilon)$,*

$$\|K(\Lambda)\Psi\| \leq \varepsilon\|H_0(\Lambda)\Psi\| + \nu(\varepsilon)\|\Psi\|, \qquad \Psi \in \mathcal{D}_0, \qquad (33)$$

holds, where $\nu(\varepsilon)$ is a constant independent of $\Lambda \geq \Lambda(\varepsilon)$.
(2) *Then for all $z \in \mathbf{C}\backslash\mathbf{R}$, it follows that*

$$s-\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} K(\Lambda)\,(H_0(\Lambda)-z)^{-1} = V_{\mathit{eff}}\,(\,H_p - z\,)^{-1}\otimes P_{\Omega_b}, \qquad (34)$$

(**Proof**)
(1) By the condition (**A.4**), $V_{\rm eff}$ is bounded. Then **(1)** follows from Proposition 3.1.
(2) It is seen that

$$K(\Lambda)\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1} = K(\Lambda)\left(H_{\rm p}-z\right)^{-1}\otimes P_{\Omega_{\rm b}} + K(\Lambda)\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1}\left(I\otimes(1-P_{\Omega_{\rm b}})\right).$$

By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$s-\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} K(\Lambda)\left(\left(H_{\rm p}-z\right)^{-1}\otimes P_{\Omega_{\rm b}}\right)\Psi = V_{\rm eff}\left(\left(H_{\rm p}-z\right)^{-1}\otimes P_{\Omega_{\rm b}}\right)\Psi. \qquad (35)$$

By (33), we see that for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ such that for all $\Lambda > \Lambda(\varepsilon)$

$$\|K(\Lambda)\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1}\Phi\| \leq \varepsilon\|\Phi\| + (\varepsilon|z|+\nu(\varepsilon))\|\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1}\Phi\|, \qquad \Phi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that $\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left\|\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1}\left(I\otimes(1-P_{\Omega_{\rm b}})\right)\Psi\right\| = 0$, and hence we obtain

$$\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left\|K(\Lambda)\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1}\left(I\otimes(1-P_{\Omega_{\rm b}})\right)\Psi\right\| = 0. \qquad (36)$$

By (35) and (36), we obtain (34). ■

(Proof of Theorem 2.1)

By Proposition 3.3, it is shown that $H(\Lambda)$ satisfies the condition **(S.1)** and **(S.2)** by applying $H_0(\Lambda)$ to $A\otimes I + \Lambda\, I\otimes B$ and $K(\Lambda)$ to $C(\Lambda)$. Hence by the Proposition A, we have for $z \in \mathbf{C}\backslash\mathbf{R}$,

$$s-\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left(H(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} U(\Lambda)\left(H_0(\Lambda)-z\right)^{-1}U(\Lambda)^{-1} = \left(H_{\rm p}+V_{\rm eff}-z\right)^{-1}\otimes P_{\Omega_{\rm b}}.$$

Thus the proof is completed. ■

Acknowledgments

It is pleasure to thank Professor Fumio Hiroshima for his advice and comments.

References

[1] A. Arai, Asymptotic analysis and its application to the nonrelativistic limit of the Pauli-Fierz and a spin-boson model, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1990), 2653-2663.

[2] E. B. Davies, Particle-boson interactions and the weak coupling limit, *J. Math. Phys.* **20** (1979), 345-351.

[3] I. Daubechies, One electron molecules with relativistic kinetic energy : Properties of the discrete spectrum, *Commu. Math. Phys.*, **94** (1984), 523-535.

[4] J. Dereziński, The Mourre estimate for dispersive N-body Schrödinger operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **317** (1990), 773-798.

[5] C. Gérard, The mourre estimate for regular dispersive systems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **54** (1991), 59-88.

[6] I. Herbst, Spectral theory of the operator $(p^2+m^2)^{1/2}-Ze^2/r$, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **53** (1977), 285-294.

[7] F. Hiroshima, Analysis of ground states of atoms interacting with a quantized radiation field, *Topics in the theory of Shrödinger operators* , (H.Araki and H.Ezawa eds.) World Scientific, 2004, 145-273.

[8] F. Hiroshima, Scaling limit of a model of quantum electrodynamics, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 4478-4518.

[9] F. Hiroshima, Scaling limit of a model of quantum electrodynamics with many nonrelativistic particles, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **9** (1997), 201-225.

[10] F. Hiroshima, Weak coupling limit with a removal of an ultraviolet cutoff for a Hamiltonian of particles interacting with a massive scalar field, *Inf. Dim. Ana. Quantum Prob. Rel. Top.* **1** (1998), 407-423.

[11] F. Hiroshima, Weak coupling limit and a removing ultraviolet cutoff for a Hamiltonian of particles interacting with a quantized scalar field, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999), 1215-1236.

[12] F. Hiroshima, Observable effects and parametrized scaling limits of a model in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002), 1755-1795.

[13] F. Hiroshima and H.Spohn, Enhanced binding through coupling to a quantum field, *Ann. Henri. Poincaré* **2** (2001), 1150-1187.

[14] F. Hiroshima and I.Sasaki, On the ionization of the semi-relativistic Pauli-Fierz model for a single particle, (arxiv : 1003.1661v4).

[15] E. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis* (second edition), Amer. Math. Soc. 2001.

[16] A. Ohkubo, Scaling limit for the Derezinński-Gérard Model, to appear in Hokkaido Math. J.

[17] A. Suzuki, Scaling limits for a general class of quantum field models and its applications to nuclear physics and condensed matter physics, *Inf. Dim. Ana. Quantum Prob. Rel. Top.* **10** (2007), 43-65.

[18] A. Suzuki, Scaling limits for a generalization of the Nelson model and its application to nuclear physics, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **19** (2007), 131-155.

[19] J. P. Solovej, T. Ø. Sørensen, and W. L. Spitzer, Relativistic Scott correction for atoms and molecules, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63** (2010), 39-118.

[20] T. Takaesu, On the scaling limit of quantum electrodynamics with spatial cutoffs. (arxiv : 0908.2080v1)

[21] T. Umeda, Radiation conditions and resolvent estimates for relativistic Schrödinger operators, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **63** (1995), 277-296.

[22] R. Weder, Spectral properties of one-body relativistic spin-zero hamiltonians, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. A*, **20** (1974), 211-220.